Relationship between urinary sodium excretion and serum aldosterone in patients with diabetes in the presence and absence of modifiers of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system
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Abstract

Although low dietary salt intake has beneficial effects on BP (blood pressure), low 24hUNa (24 h urinary sodium excretion), the most accurate estimate of dietary salt intake, is associated with increased mortality in people with diabetes. In the non-diabetic population, low salt intake is associated with increased RAAS (renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system) activity. In this cross-sectional study, we examined the relationship between 24hUNa, PRA (plasma renin activity), serum aldosterone and BNP (brain natriuretic peptide) in patients with diabetes. Clinical characteristics, 24hUNa, PRA, serum aldosterone and BNP were recorded in 222 consecutive patients (77 % with Type 2 diabetes) attending a diabetes clinic at a tertiary hospital. The relationship between 24hUNa, serum aldosterone, PRA, BNP urinary potassium excretion, serum potassium, serum sodium, eGFR (estimated glomerular filtration rate), urinary albumin excretion and HbA1c (glycated haemoglobin) was examined by a multivariable regression model. Levels of 24hUNa significantly predicted serum aldosterone in a linear fashion ($R^2 = 0.20$, $P = 0.002$). In the subgroup of patients ($n = 46$) not taking RAAS-modifying agents, this relationship was also observed ($R^2 = 0.10$, $P = 0.03$), and the effect of 24hUNa on serum aldosterone was found to be more pronounced than in the whole cohort (coefficient $= -0.0014$, compared with $-0.0008$). There was no demonstrable relationship between 24hUNa and PRA or BNP. Low 24hUNa is associated with increased serum aldosterone in people with diabetes, in the presence and absence of RAAS-modifying agents. This raises the possibility that stimulation of the RAAS may be a mechanism that contributes to adverse outcomes observed in patients with low 24hUNa.
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INTRODUCTION

CVD (cardiovascular disease) is the leading cause of death in Australia and individuals with diabetes have an exaggerated risk for the development of CVD. Hypertension is present in approximately 70 % of patients with diabetes [1,2], which further increases the risk of CVD in this population by 60–70 % [3].

Current guidelines recommend a reduction of dietary salt intake to $<100$ mmol/24 h [4], particularly in people with hypertension because salt restriction is associated with an approximate 5 mmHg reduction in systolic BP (blood pressure) [5]. We have previously shown in patients with Type 2 diabetes on antihypertensive therapy that salt restriction reduces BP [6] and salt supplementation increases BP [7]. However, the direct evidence...
regarding the effects of dietary salt intake on cardiovascular outcomes is controversial [8–23]. Previous studies in patients with diabetes have demonstrated an association between adverse outcomes and low 24hUNa (24 h urinary sodium excretion; the most accurate estimate of dietary salt intake) [20,21].

In the general population, low salt intake is associated with increases in PRA (plasma renin activity), plasma aldosterone, plasma adrenaline and plasma noradrenaline levels, as well as total cholesterol and TAG (triacylglycerol) levels [24]. Stimulation of the RAAS (renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system) by a low-salt diet may play a role in the development of atherosclerosis and adverse cardiovascular events by mediating inflammation, thrombosis, oxidative stress and cardiac hypertrophy [25,26]. In an animal study, Tikellis et al. [27] investigated the role of the RAAS in the development of atherosclerotic plaques in ApoE (apolipoprotein E)-knockout mice, and found that a low-salt diet resulted in increased RAAS activity and a 4-fold increase in plaque accumulation. In humans, increased PRA has been associated with increased cardiovascular outcomes and mortality [28–30]. Furthermore, the increased serum aldosterone levels were associated with increased cardiovascular mortality in patients with heart failure [31], myocardial infarction [32] and coronary artery disease outside the setting of acute myocardial infarction or heart failure [33]. Increased cardiovascular events and mortality have also been associated with elevated levels of BNP (brain natriuretic peptide) [34,35] and N-terminal proBNP [36–39] in patients with diabetes. However, there is a lack of studies of dietary salt intake and the degree of activation of the RAAS and BNP levels in people with diabetes. The present cross-sectional study aimed to investigate the relationship between 24hUNa, as a measure of dietary salt intake, with PRA, serum aldosterone and BNP in patients with diabetes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient recruitment
This was a cross-sectional study of patients with Types 1 and 2 diabetes from diabetes outpatient clinics at Austin Health, Melbourne, Australia. Austin Health is a major tertiary referral centre and a University of Melbourne teaching hospital, serving a population of approximately 700 000 people. The present study has been carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Austin Health Human Research Ethics Committee. Subjects gave written informed consent.

Baseline characteristics
Between November 2011 and March 2012, data were collected on the clinical and biochemical characteristics of the participants, including a full clinical history, medication use and anthropometric data. In particular, we recorded the use of medications that affect the RAAS (i.e. ACEi (angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors), ARB (AT1 (angiotensin II type 1) receptor blocker) and diuretics). Pre-existing macrovascular complications of diabetes were defined as a clinical history of myocardial infarction, unstable angina requiring hospitalization, coronary revascularization (including coronary artery bypass grafting, angioplasty and coronary stenting), heart failure, atrial fibrillation, stroke, carotid artery surgery, peripheral revascularization (including bypass grafting, angioplasty or stenting) and amputation for critical limb ischaemia. The presence or absence of retinopathy was ascertained from clinical notes, optometrist or ophthalmologist reports.

Laboratory methods
Prior to each visit, patients attending the diabetes clinic at Austin Health routinely perform a 24 h urine collection to measure the excretion of sodium, potassium, glucose, creatinine and albumin. Fasting blood samples were taken for measurement of glucose, HbA1c (glycated haemoglobin), lipid profile, creatinine, PRA, aldosterone and BNP prospectively at the Department of Laboratory Medicine at Austin Health. Blood samples were taken in the morning after the patients had been in the sitting position for at least 5 min after unrestricted ambulation. eGFR (estimated glomerular filtration rate) was estimated using the MDRD (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease) formula [eGFR (ml/min per 1.73 m²) = 175×(serum creatinine×0.0113)−1.154×age−0.203×(0.742 if female)]. PRA was measured in EDTA plasma using the GammaCoat Plasma Renin Activity RIA (radioimmunoassay; Diasorin) with a CV (coefficient of variation) of 16% at levels of 2 and 5.5 μg/l per h. Serum aldosterone was measured with the Count-A-Coat RIA (Siemens), achieving a CV of 10% at 110 pmol/l and 13% at 1499 pmol/l. BNP was measured by immunoassay on the Abbott Architect with CVs of 11% at levels of 90 and 485 ng/l. Microalbuminuria was measured on the Beckman DxC800 by immunoturbidimetry with CVs of 8.5 and 4% at levels of 30 and 100 mg/l respectively. Microalbuminuria was defined as an AER (albumin excretion rate) of 20–200 μg/min and macroalbuminuria as an AER of >200 μg/min.

Statistical methods
The relationship between 24hUNa, serum aldosterone, PRA, BNP, urinary potassium excretion, serum potassium, serum sodium, eGFR, urinary albumin excretion and HbA1c, was examined by multivariable regression, using cubic regression splines to model non-linearity in covariate effect [40]. Continuous variables that were normally distributed were analysed using Student’s t tests. Data that were not normally distributed were logarithmically transformed or analysed using the Mann–Whitney test. χ² tests were used for categorical variables. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Minitab version 16 and Stata version 12 were used to perform the statistical analyses.

RESULTS
A total of 222 consecutive patients who had complete data on 24hUNa, PRA, serum aldosterone and BNP formed the study cohort. This included 176 patients who were, and 46 patients who were not, taking medications that could affect the RAAS. Out of the 176 patients who took RAAS-modifying agents, 40% were
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Table 1  Baseline clinical characteristics

Data are means ± S.D. unless otherwise indicated. HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MDRD, Modification of Diet in Renal Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>All patients</th>
<th>Type 1 diabetes</th>
<th>Type 2 diabetes</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>52 (23 %)</td>
<td>170 (77 %)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>64 ± 15</td>
<td>50 ± 19</td>
<td>68 ± 10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% male)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes (years)</td>
<td>17 ± 11</td>
<td>22 ± 15</td>
<td>15 ± 9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>31 ± 7</td>
<td>28 ± 6</td>
<td>32 ± 7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior macrovascular disease (%)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease (%)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation (%)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure (%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>136 ± 19</td>
<td>131 ± 18</td>
<td>138 ± 19</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>73 ± 12</td>
<td>74 ± 12</td>
<td>73 ± 12</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy (%)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biochemical results</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA₁c (mmol/mol, %)</td>
<td>61 ± 11.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>60 ± 11.7 ± 1</td>
<td>60 ± 14.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>8.6 ± 3.4</td>
<td>9.8 ± 5</td>
<td>8.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGs (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1</td>
<td>1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDRD eGFR (ml/min per 1.73 m²)</td>
<td>69 ± 20</td>
<td>78 ± 16</td>
<td>67 ± 20</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medication (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAAS modulator</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEIs</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blockers</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blockers</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other antihypertensives</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metformin</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfonlurea</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiazolidinedione</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glitn</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exenatide</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ezetimibe</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrates</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taking ACEi, 45% were taking ARB and 47% were taking diuretics. The clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Complications of diabetes were common, with 45% of patients having pre-existing macrovascular disease. Of the participants, 32% had an eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m². Mean 24hUNa and 24 h urinary potassium level were 154 ± 76 and 74 ± 31 mmol/day respectively, in keeping with previous international surveys [41,42].

Serum aldosterone and 24hUNa

In the total study cohort, 24hUNa, 24 h urinary potassium, PRA and plasma sodium concentration significantly predicted log
serum aldosterone levels ($R^2 = 0.2$, $P < 0.001$) (Figure 1 and Table 2). Levels of 24hUNa predicted serum aldosterone in a linear fashion, whereas urinary potassium excretion, PRA and plasma sodium predicted log serum aldosterone in a non-linear fashion, as evidenced by the shape of the regression spline in Figure 1. There was no significant relationship between BNP and serum aldosterone ($P = 0.71$).

In the subgroup of patients not taking agents which interfere with RAAS, 24hUNa also significantly predicted serum aldosterone levels in a linear fashion ($R^2 = 0.1$, $P = 0.03$). The relationship between 24hUNa and log serum aldosterone was more pronounced in this subgroup of patients than in the whole study cohort (coefficient $= -0.0014$, compared with $-0.0008$) (Figure 2).

**PRA and 24hUNa**

There was no demonstrable relationship between 24hUNa and PRA in the total study group or in the subgroup of patients not taking RAAS-modifying agents.

**BNP and 24hUNa**

There was no discernible relationship between BNP with 24hUNa in the total study group or in the subgroup of patients not taking RAAS-modifying agents.

**DISCUSSION**

The most important finding in the present study was that 24hUNa predicted serum aldosterone in a linear fashion. The serum aldosterone level was greater in those with lower 24hUNa, especially in patients not taking medications that interfere with the RAAS. Medications that interfere with the RAAS can disrupt the RAAS response to salt intake. This potentially contributes to the weaker relationship observed between 24hUNa and serum aldosterone in the subgroup of patients who take RAAS-modifying medications.

One of the earliest studies examining the relationship between dietary salt intake and the RAAS was performed by Brunner et al. [28] in 219 patients with essential hypertension. These investigators reported that PRA was related to 24hUNa and that high PRA increased the risks of myocardial infarction and stroke. Since then, many studies have investigated the relationship between dietary salt intake and the RAAS. A Cochrane review of 167 studies conducted in 2012 concluded that dietary salt reduction resulted not only in a significant decrease in BP, but also a significant increase in PRA and plasma aldosterone [24]. In this review of randomized controlled trials involving people with normal or elevated BP, they reported a standard mean difference of 1.15 [95% CI (confidence interval): 0.99, 1.30] for renin and 1.36 (95% CI: 1.15, 1.57) for aldosterone, following salt reduction.
Table 2 Multivariable spline regression model of 24hUNa, 24 h urine potassium excretion, PRA and plasma sodium, with log10(aldosterone)

Note that there are (i) two coefficients for plasma sodium (reflecting the basis function and the knot); (ii) three coefficients for PRA (basis function and two knots); (iii) one coefficient for 24hUNa as it is linear but is modelled with this basis function; and (iv) three coefficients for 24 h urinary potassium. The joint tests of significance for the multiple parameters for each of the non-linear covariate effects are highly significant. The test for plasma sodium: \( F(2, 212) = 8.76, \) Prob > \( F = 0.0002; \) for PRA: \( F(3, 212) = 4.10, \) Prob > \( F = 0.0075; \) and for 24 h urinary potassium: \( F(3, 212) = 5.95, \) Prob > \( F = 0.0006. \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma sodium</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.02, 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRA</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>−0.08, −0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24hUNa</td>
<td>−0.0008</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>−0.001, −0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h urinary potassium</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>−0.09, −0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 Regression model of 24hUNa (mmol/24 h) and log serum aldosterone (pmol/l)

(Left-hand panel) Relationship between serum aldosterone and 24hUNa in the whole cohort (\( n = 222 \)). Regression line equation: log10(serum aldosterone) = 2.472 − 0.0008 × 24hUNa. (Right hand panel) Relationship between serum aldosterone and 24hUNa in the subgroup of patients not taking medications that affect RAAS (\( n = 46 \)). Regression line equation: log10(serum aldosterone) = 2.567 − 0.001 × 24hUNa. The shaded area indicates the 95% CI.

Despite the abundance of studies of RAAS activity and salt intake, very few have included patients with diabetes. In a study of hypertensive patients with or without diabetes treated with low- or high-salt diet, Price et al. [43] reported that a high-salt diet suppressed PRA in healthy subjects in an expected manner, but patients with Type 2 diabetes showed less PRA suppression with a high-salt diet.

We report a significant relationship between 24hUNa and serum aldosterone in patients with diabetes; however, there was no demonstrable relationship between 24hUNa and PRA. Essential hypertension is associated with reduced PRA [44,45] and 70% of patients with diabetes have hypertension [1,2]. It is possible that an overall reduction in PRA activity in patients with diabetes partly contributed to the lack of a relationship between 24hUNa and PRA in the present study. In the present study, the mean 24hUNa was 154 ± 76 mmol/day. In the original studies by Brunner et al. [28], the increases in PRA were non-linear with much greater levels of PRA seen when 24hUNa was < 100 mmol/24 h. Given that a minority of patients with diabetes had a 24hUNa of < 100 mmol/24 h (24% in the present study), this may explain the lack of a relationship seen between 24hUNa and PRA. Furthermore, Price et al. [43] have reported reduced suppression of PRA with a high-salt diet in patients with diabetes, which may also explain the lack of correlation between 24hUNa and PRA in the present study.

Studies of patients with Type 2 diabetes have reported an association between raised BNP/NT-proBNP (N-terminal proBNP fragment) levels and excess-all cause and cardiovascular mortality [34–39]. Low salt intake has been associated with both a reduction [46] and an increase [18] in BNP levels in patients...
with heart failure. In the present study involving patients with diabetes, we found no demonstrable relationship between BNP and 24hUNa. Differences in the sample population, size and methods make comparison of results between the existing studies challenging. Furthermore, 79% of patients in our study were taking either ARB or ACEi, and as a result may have increased the ACE2/ACE ratio and a subsequent increase in Ang-(1–7) [angiotensin-(1–7)]. Ang-(1–7) has been shown to attenuate cardiac remodelling [47], and may potentially contribute to the lack of association seen between BNP and 24hUNa in the present study. Further studies are needed to determine the relationship, or lack thereof, between BNP and salt.

Although the relationship between salt and hypertension is well established [5], the relationship between salt intake and cardiovascular outcome or mortality is controversial, with studies showing no significant association [15,17], increased [8,20–23] or decreased [12–14,48] cardiovascular outcomes and mortality with a low-salt diet. We have previously reported that low 24hUNa was associated with increased all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in a cohort of 638 patients with Type 2 diabetes after 10 years of follow-up [20]. The FinnDiane study [21], which involved 2807 patients with type 1 diabetes, reported that mortality was highest in patients with both high and low sodium excretion, suggesting a U-shaped relationship between salt intake and outcome. However, the mechanisms linking salt with mortality in patients with diabetes have not been defined.

Increased RAAS activation has been suggested as the mechanism that potentially links low salt intake with less favourable cardiovascular outcomes. In humans, PRA has been shown to be independently associated with increased risk of myocardial infarction [29,30,49]. However, in the present study, we did not find an association between high PRA levels and low 24hUNa. Serum aldosterone levels have also been independently associated with increased mortality and cardiovascular outcomes [31–33]. Animal studies demonstrated that accelerated atherosclerosis is associated with dietary salt restriction in apoE-knockout mice, through a mechanism mediated by AngII [27,50]. The latter study demonstrated that apoE−/− mice receiving a low-salt diet had increased plasma aldosterone levels and developed fatty streaks [27]. In another study, dogs that were fed a low-salt diet had a 3-fold increase in plasma AngII and a 60% reduction in flow-induced dilation in coronary arterioles compared with dogs on a normal salt diet [51]. Observational studies have previously suggested the possibility of less favourable cardiovascular outcomes with low-salt intake, and these animal studies suggest potential mechanisms for these findings. However, we also recognize the difficulties in translating animal studies to humans.

The major strength of the present study is the use of 24hUNa to estimate dietary salt intake. Up to 90% of salt intake is really excreted [52]; therefore, 24hUNa provides a more accurate estimate of salt intake compared with dietary recall, which can underestimate dietary salt intake by up to 50% [53]. Patients with Type 2 diabetes attending our diabetes clinics have their urine collected two or three times per year and are routinely given advice on how to accurately and completely collect 24 h urine. In a previous longitudinal study, the mean 24hUCr (24 h urinary creatinine excretion) per subject over the study duration was used to correct for the completeness of urine collections for each participant [54]. The mean intra-individual CV of the corrected 24hUNa, the uncorrected 24hUNa and 24hUCr were 21 ± 1, 22 ± 1 and 13 ± 1% respectively. The finding of very similar CVs for the corrected and uncorrected 24hUNa, and a higher CV for 24hUNa compared with 24hUCr, suggests that the variation in 24hUNa is likely to be secondary to dietary variation in sodium intake rather than 24 h urine collection error [54], validating the use of a single 24hUNa in the present study to estimate dietary intake.

We recognize the limitations of the present study. As with any observational non-randomized studies, the present study is hypothesis-generating. The other limitation is that there are a relatively smaller number of participants in the present study, especially in the subgroup without RAAS modulation. Another limitation is that most of our patients from the clinic took medications that interfere with RAAS. However, despite this, there still remained a significant relationship between 24hUNa and serum aldosterone.

In conclusion, in patients with diabetes, low 24hUNa, as an index of low dietary salt intake, was associated with increased serum aldosterone levels. This raises the possibility that RAAS modification is one mechanism that links low salt intake with adverse outcomes.

**CLINICAL PERSPECTIVES**

- We have previously reported an increase in mortality associated with low 24hUNa excretion, which is the best marker of dietary salt intake, in people with diabetes. The RAAS has been implicated in the development of atherosclerosis as well as in cardiovascular mortality in the non-diabetic population.
- The present study demonstrated an association between low 24hUNa and increased serum aldosterone levels in people with diabetes.
- The activation of the RAAS may potentially contribute to the adverse outcomes observed in patients with diabetes and low 24hUNa.
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